BRIDGING CULTURES: EFFECTIVE ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE (AI) ADOPTION IN RESEARCH METHODOLOGIES

[1]Mohammed T. Hadiza, [2]Gani Esther, [3]Tanimu Deborah Ummana, [4]Gani Joel Ozongbe and [5]Kabir M Sahabi

[1,2,3,4 & 5]Kaduna State University, Kaduna.

[1]mohammed.hadiza@kasu.edu.ng [2]esther.gani@kasu.edu.ng [3]debo.umma@gmail.com
[4]joel.ozongbe@gmail.com [5]sahabi.kabir@kasu.edu.ng

Abstract

Cross-cultural research offers valuable insights into the diverse ways cultures influence human behavior and technological adoption, particularly in academia. This study examined the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) technologies in African higher education institutions, focusing on the impact of cultural variables on AI adoption in academic research methodologies. The study highlights the need for culturally sensitive approaches in AI adoption, addressing challenges such as data privacy concerns, cultural biases, and ethical transparency. By promoting cross-cultural research, African institutions can better understand and navigate the complexities of AI integration, ensuring that it enhances rather than disrupts academic research. The study concludes with recommendations for developing AI training programs, culturally responsive policies, and collaborative research efforts to foster AI adoption in a way that respects cultural diversity and supports academic growth in Africa.

Keywords*: Cross-cultural research, Artificial Intelligence, African academia, research methodologies, AI adoption.*

Introduction

Globally, Africa and South America have contributed minimally to AI research, collectively accounting for less than 5% of the total scholarly output (Digital Science, 2024; Paek & Kim, 2021). This underlines the largely untapped potential for Artificial Intelligence on the African continent, a region where technological advancements could significantly reshape economic and social landscapes (Wang & Wang, 2024). Between 2014 and 2023, an in-depth analysis of global AI research—measured by academic publications—reveals that the United States dominates this field. With over 772,000 papers, the U.S. holds a 30% share of the global research output (Tprestianni, 2024). China follows closely, contributing approximately 465,000 papers, which accounts for 18% of the total global AI research. Other significant contributors include the United Kingdom, Germany, Japan, India, Brazil, and Iran, each producing between 10,000 and 140,000 papers, further reinforcing their established positions in the international AI research landscape (Digital Science, 2024; Scafuto & Costa,

2024). This distribution of AI research contributions highlights the disparity in technological development and research capacity between different regions, with Africa and South America still lagging significantly behind.

In the past five years, the adoption of Artificial Intelligence has accelerated, fundamentally reshaping the landscape of academic research (Elliott, 2019; Hashmat Khalil, 2024). AI is not merely enhancing research tools; it is also disrupting traditional methodologies, fostering a paradigm shift in how research is conducted (Kumar, 2019). This rapid transformation has introduced new ethical dilemmas, challenging existing frameworks and raising questions about the balance between innovation and moral responsibility (Marquis et al., 2024). Furthermore, core academic principles, such as the peer review process, are being scrutinized and redefined in light of AI's increasing role (Ashri, 2019). This moment marks a pivotal point for both technological innovation and inquiry, as AI not only enables more efficient research processes but also compels scholars to reconsider the very foundations of academic rigor and integrity. The integration of AI into academic research promises both significant opportunities and challenges, making it a central topic for future scholarly debate and policy formulation.

Artificial Intelligence (AI) refers to the application of computer algorithms and statistical models to process, analyze, and interpret data, significantly impacting research and teaching. AI is rapidly transforming numerous sectors, and academia is no exception. AI's role in academic research is increasingly becoming a subject of debate among scholars, given its ability to revolutionize research methodologies, findings, and knowledge creation (Abugre, 2021; Zentner, 2022). The discussion around AI in academia is largely centered on its transformative potential, as it brings about new ways of processing vast amounts of data, thus speeding up research while ensuring accuracy. Moreover, as AI continues to evolve, it is critical to assess its long-term impact on the nature of academic work, particularly concerning how research is conducted and interpreted. Researchers must engage with the challenges posed by AI, including data privacy and ethical concerns, to ensure that its implementation enhances rather than hinders knowledge creation.

The advent of AI as a general-purpose technology has necessitated its integration into academic institutions, fostering innovations in teaching, learning, and research (Saaida, 2023; Pedro et al., 2019). AI's adoption in academia represents a shift not only in how educational content is delivered but also in how research is conducted. As AI technologies continue to be embraced, they help bridge gaps between existing technological advancements and cultural adaptation, enabling a more seamless integration of AI into academic practices (Venkatesh et al., 2024). Cultural acceptance plays a crucial role in determining the extent to which AI can be leveraged to advance academic research (Boch, 2021). In particular, AI-powered tools can process and synthesize vast quantities of academic literature, streamlining the literature review process by categorizing documents and identifying key themes and trends. This ability

to efficiently highlight research gaps provides researchers with a more focused and precise direction, ultimately improving the quality and depth of academic inquiry.

AI's potential to revolutionize research lies not only in its technical capabilities but also in its ability to address broader academic challenges such as cultural distinctions, data privacy concerns, and the need for transparency in research methodologies (Ruder-Hook, 2018). With AI, researchers can navigate complex datasets while adhering to ethical guidelines, ensuring that their findings are both reliable and transparent. Furthermore, AI can enhance collaboration among scholars by enabling more efficient data sharing and analysis across disciplines, promoting cross-disciplinary research efforts that can lead to groundbreaking discoveries (Del Guidice & Scuotto, 2023). However, this integration of AI into academic research must be approached cautiously, with attention to the ethical implications surrounding data use and the preservation of academic integrity. By addressing these concerns, AI can serve as a powerful tool that enhances, rather than disrupts, the pursuit of knowledge in the academic setting.

Cross-Cultural Research and Its Role in Understanding Human Behavior

Cross-cultural research plays a pivotal role in analyzing human behavior across diverse cultural contexts, providing insights into how cultural factors influence individual and collective experiences. According to Tubadji and Denney (2021), such research aims to explore the ways in which cultural values shape behavior, thereby allowing researchers to identify both universal and unique patterns. These patterns help advance the understanding of human nature by revealing how attitudes, experiences, and activities differ across societies (Smith & Bond, 2019). Cross-cultural research also views culture as a complex construct that influences an individual's worldview, affecting their attitudes and behaviors. This recognition of culture's complexity underscores the importance of considering cultural variables when conducting research. A more nuanced understanding of these cultural impacts helps researchers avoid ethnocentric biases, which can skew research findings and limit the generalizability of results.

Cultural Considerations in AI Adoption in African Academia

When adopting artificial intelligence (AI) technologies in African academic research, it is crucial to account for the cultural contexts in which these technologies will operate. Cultural values, beliefs, and social norms play significant roles in shaping how AI is perceived and implemented within academic environments. Individualistic cultures, as noted by Sa'ari et al. (2023), place a high value on personal data privacy, whereas collectivist cultures prioritize the common good over individual rights. These differences in cultural orientation impact how AI technologies are adopted and integrated into research methodologies. Moreover, societies with high uncertainty avoidance may be more resistant to AI-driven systematization due to concerns regarding individual security and data protection.

McGrath (2021) further highlights that cultural biases embedded in AI algorithms can lead to discriminatory outcomes, thus making it imperative to adapt AI systems to the specific cultural contexts of African academia. Addressing these biases is essential to ensure that AI technologies are implemented ethically and equitably.

Methodological Rigor in Cross-Cultural Research

Cross-cultural research systematically compares human behavior across various cultures to identify both universal and culturally specific patterns. Chu et al. (2019) emphasize the importance of this approach in revealing the psychological variables that may vary across different cultural contexts. By doing so, researchers can uncover the intricate relationship between culture and human thought, emotion, and behavior (Smaldino et al., 2019). Ensuring cultural equivalency in cross-cultural studies is critical, and this can be achieved by adapting research instruments to minimize bias (Chu et al., 2019). Using culturally appropriate tools, such as surveys, interviews, and experiments, researchers can capture the subtleties of cultural variation more accurately. Moreover, rigorous sampling, data analysis, and careful interpretation of results are essential in preventing oversimplified generalizations (Field et al., 2021). Through such meticulous methods, cross-cultural research contributes to a deeper understanding of the ways in which culture shapes human behavior.

Key Concepts and Methodologies in Cross-Cultural Research

In cross-cultural research, several key concepts and methodologies are essential for producing valid and reliable findings. Barrett (2020) identifies methodological approaches, such as Comparative Research Designs, which employ quasi-experimental methods to explore cultural similarities and differences. Barmeyer et al. (2019) note that researchers often adopt an "etic" perspective in these studies to predict and compare behaviors across cultures without being influenced by the specific nuances of any single culture. However, this approach requires careful consideration of tradeoffs. Exploratory studies may reveal broad differences between cultures, but they often lack the depth necessary for theory building. Conversely, hypothesis-testing studies may inform theory but run the risk of overlooking unexpected cultural findings. Thus, researchers must balance the need for both broad exploration and focused hypothesis testing to fully understand cultural dynamics. This methodological rigor is particularly crucial in cross-cultural studies, where cultural complexity and variation must be carefully navigated.

Challenges and Opportunities for AI in African Academia

Despite the transformative potential of AI in academic research, Africa faces significant challenges in adopting these technologies. A major barrier is the lack of understanding regarding the specific impacts of AI on research methodologies within African academic institutions. This knowledge gap is further compounded by cultural disparities that influence AI adoption, as discussed by Ruder-Hook (2018). In many African societies,

concerns about data privacy, cultural traditions, and social norms may conflict with AI-driven research processes (Del Guidice & Scuotto, 2023). Additionally, transparency and ethical considerations must be prioritized to ensure that AI technologies are used responsibly in research settings. Without addressing these cross-cultural challenges, the integration of AI into African higher education institutions will remain limited. Therefore, there is an urgent need for research that focuses on developing effective strategies for overcoming these barriers. Such efforts would help to bridge the gap between technological advancements and the cultural contexts in which they are deployed, enabling African academia to fully benefit from AI's research potential.

Conclusion

Cross-cultural research is vital for understanding the complex interactions between culture, human behavior, and technological adoption. As highlighted, the integration of AI into African academia presents both significant opportunities and challenges. Cultural factors such as values, beliefs, and social norms shape how AI technologies are perceived, utilized, and integrated into research environments. These cultural influences must be understood to avoid biased implementations and to ensure that AI enhances, rather than hinders, academic research. The current gap in knowledge regarding the impact of AI on research methodologies, particularly in Africa, is a significant barrier to progress. Addressing these gaps through rigorous cross-cultural research ensures a more comprehensive understanding of how culture interacts with AI adoption, leading to more effective and culturally sensitive research outcomes. Ultimately, recognizing the influence of cultural variables in the adoption of AI can transform academic research, ensuring that it reflects both global advancements and local realities.

Recommendation

To move forward, it is imperative for African higher education institutions to adopt a more culturally responsive approach to AI implementation. First, there must be a concerted effort to increase awareness and understanding of AI technologies, specifically tailored to the cultural contexts of African societies. Training and education programs should be designed to bridge the knowledge gap, fostering AI literacy among academic staff and researchers. Additionally, research methodologies must be adapted to reflect local values, ensuring that AI-driven processes align with cultural sensitivities such as data privacy concerns and ethical use of technology. Collaborative research initiatives that bring together AI experts, cultural scholars, and local stakeholders can also help identify culturally specific strategies for AI adoption.

Moreover, policy development will play a crucial role in guiding the ethical and equitable use of AI in African academia. Governments and academic institutions should develop frameworks that address cultural disparities in AI adoption while promoting

transparency, fairness, and inclusivity. These policies should ensure that AI technologies are used responsibly, preventing the reinforcement of existing biases and ensuring that AI enhances educational outcomes. Finally, ongoing cross-cultural research should continue to examine the dynamic relationship between culture and AI, offering insights that can inform future technological advancements while respecting the cultural uniqueness of African academic institutions. This approach will ensure that Africa is not left behind in the global AI revolution but becomes an active participant in shaping AI's role in academic research.

References

Abugre, J. B. (2021). Embracing Artificial Intelligence in Sub-Saharan Higher Educational Institutions. In Management Education and Automation. Routledge.

Ahmad, M. (2014). Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT): A Decade of Validation and Development.

Alsswey. (2023). The role of Hofstede's cultural dimensions in the design of user interface: The case of Arabic | AI EDAM | Cambridge Core. Retrieved August 23, 2024, https://tinyurl.com/7he2cypj

Amir, D., & McAuliffe, K. (2020). Cross-cultural, developmental psychology: Integrating approaches and key insights. Evolution and Human Behavior, 41(5), 430–444.

Anakpo, G., Xhate, Z., & Mishi, S. (2023). The Policies, Practices, and Challenges of Digital Financial Inclusion for Sustainable Development: https://doi.org/10.3390/fintech2020019

Ashri, R. (2019). The AI-powered workplace: How artificial intelligence, data, and messaging platforms are defining the future of work. Apress. https://tinyurl.com/2trx26we

Bangalore Suresh, S., Kaushik, T., & Shankarappa, V. (2022). Impact of Hofstede's Cultural Dimensions on Intelligent Ethical Agent. https://doi.org/10.15680/IJIRCCE.2022.1008011

Barmeyer, C., Bausch, M., & Moncayo, D. (2019). Cross-cultural management research: Topics, paradigms, and methods—. https://doi.org/10.1177/1470595819859603

Barnes. (2024). AI and culture: Culturally dependent responses to AI systems—ScienceDirect. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S2352250X24000514

Barrett, H. C. (2020). Towards a cognitive science of the human: Cross-cultural approaches and their urgency. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 24(8), 620–638.

Bayeck, R. Y. (2021). The Intersection of Cultural Context and Research Encounter: Focus on Interviewing in Qualitative Research. https://doi.org/10.1177/1609406921995696

Blanchard, E., & Frasson, C. (2005). Making Intelligent Tutoring Systems Culturally Aware: The Use of Hofstede's Cultural Dimensions. International Conference on AI, ICAI'05 (Vol. 2, p. 649).

Boch, A. (2021). Culture is "Tight" with Technology Adoption: Cultural and governance factors involved in the acceptance of AI surveillance technology deployed to manage Covid-19.

Chu, X., Luo, X. R., & Chen, Y. (2019). A systematic review on cross-cultural information systems research: Evidence from the last decade. Information & Management, 56(3), 403–417.

Del Guidice, & Scuotto. (2023). Toward the human – Centered approach. A revised model of individual acceptance of AI - ScienceDirect. https://tinyurl.com/2d2udutk

Díaz-Rodríguez, N., Del Ser, J., Coeckelbergh, M., López de Prado, M., Herrera-Viedma, E., & Herrera, F. (2023). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.inffus.2023.101896

Digital Science. (2024). Research on Al – the global divides—TL;DR. Digital Science. https://www.digital-science.com/tldr/article/research-on-A-intelligence-the-global-divides/

Eke, D. O., Chintu, S. S., & Wakunuma, K. (2023). Towards shaping the future of responsible AI in africa. In Responsible AI in Africa: Challenges and Opportunities (pp. 169–193). Springer International Publishing Cham. https://tinyurl.com/36zyszra

Elliott, A. (2019). The culture of AI: Everyday life and the digital revolution. Routledge. https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/mono/10.4324/9781315387185/culture-ai-anthony-elliott

Field, J. G., Bosco, F. A., Kraichy, D., Uggerslev, K. L., & Geiger, M. K. (2021). More alike than different? A comparison of variance explained by cross-cultural models. 52(9), 1797–1817.

Gaston-Breton, C., Lemoine, J. E., Voyer, B. G., & Kastanakis, M. N. (2021). Pleasure, meaning or spirituality: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2021.05.013

Ge, X., Xu, C., Misaki, D., Markus, H. R., & Tsai, J. L. (2024). How Culture Shapes What People Want From AI. https://doi.org/10.1145/3613904.3642660

Geraci, R. M. (2008). Apocalyptic AI: Religion and the Promise of Artificial Intelligence. Journal of the American Academy of Religion, 76(1), 138–166. https://doi.org/10.1093/jaarel/lfm101

Gondwe, G. (2023). CHATGPT and the Global South: How are journalists in sub-Saharan Africa engaging with generative AI? 2(2), 228–249. https://doi.org/10.1515/omgc-2023-0023

Goodchild, T., & Speed, E. (2019). Technology-enhanced learning as transformative innovation: A note on the enduring myth of TEL. https://doi.org/10.1080/13562517.2018.1518900

Gopta. (2021). Questioning Racial and Gender Bias in AI-based Recommendations: Do Espoused National Cultural Values Matter? https://tinyurl.com/mr535z9n

Greif, A. (1994). Cultural Beliefs and the Organization Of Society: A Historical and Theoretical Reflection on Collectivist and Individualist Societies. Journal of Political Economy, 102, 912–950. https://doi.org/10.1086/261959

Hagerty, A., & Rubinov, I. (2019). Global AI Ethics: A Review of the Social Impacts and Ethical Implications of Artificial Intelligence (arXiv:1907.07892). http://arxiv.org/abs/1907.07892

Hamilton, L., Elliott, D., Quick, A., Smith, S., & Choplin, V. (2023). Exploring the Use of AI in Qualitative Analysis: A Comparative Study of Guaranteed https://tinyurl.com/wzpr6rkc

Hashmat Khalil, S. A. (2024). AI Revolution in IT: Exploring How Companies Embrace Change and Transform the Industry. https://www.theseus.fi/handle/10024/861530

Kohnert, D. (2022). Machine ethics and African identities: Perspectives of artificial intelligence in Africa. Available https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=4163096

Kumar, P. (2019). Artificial Intelligence: Reshaping Life and Business. BPB Publications. https://tinyurl.com/bddp25sm

Manzoor, A. (2020). Designs of mixed method research. In Cognitive Analytics: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 95–121). IGI Global. https://tinyurl.com/bdbn6dk9

Markus, A. F., Kors, J. A., & Rijnbeek, P. R. (2021). The role of explainability in creating trustworthy artificial intelligence for health care: Journal of Biomedical Informatics, 113, 103655. https://tinyurl.com/28x65z3h

Marquis, Y., Oladoyinbo, T. O., Olabanji, S. O., Olaniyi, O. O., & Ajayi, S. A. (2024). Proliferation of AI tools: A multifaceted evaluation of user perceptions and emerging trend. 18(1), 30–55.

Mazonde, N. B., & Carmichael, T. (2020). The African Context, Cultural Competence and Emic Aspects of Qualitative Research. Journal of African Business, 21(4), 476–492. https://tinyurl.com/3azwfw2t

McCampbell, M. (Ed.). (2022). Agricultural digitalization and automation in low- and middle-income countries: Evidence from ten case studies. https://doi.org/10.22004/ag.econ.330812

McGrath, R. E. (2021). Darwin meets Aristotle: Evolutionary evidence for three fundamental virtues. The Journal of Positive Psychology, 16(4), 431–445. https://doi.org/10.1080/17439760.2020.1752781

Muzquiz, A. R. (2021). An Explanatory Sequential Mixed Methods Study Exploring Interpersonal Relationships and Social-Emotional Learning in Dual Language Two-Way Immersion and General Education Classrooms [PhD Thesis, Baylor University]. https://tinyurl.com/9dv7ha67

Nyamai, J. M. (2023). MOBILE CREDIT AND GROWTH OF SMALL AND MICRO ENTERPRISES IN NAIROBI CITY COUNTY, KENYA.

Paek, S., & Kim, N. (2021). Analysis of Worldwide Research Trends on the Impact of Artificial Intelligence in Education. Sustainability, 13, 7941. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13147941

Parboteeah, K. P., Seriki, H. T., & Hoegl, M. (2014). Ethnic diversity, corruption and ethical climates in sub-Saharan Africa: https://tinyurl.com/263zmjcn

Pedro, F., Subosa, M., Rivas, A., & Valverde, P. (2019). Artificial intelligence in education: Challenges and opportunities for sustainable development. https://tinyurl.com/2zvkm855

Piekkari, R., Welch, C., & Zølner, M. (2020). The uneasy relationship between the case study and cross-cultural management. The Sage Handbook of Contemporary Cross-Cultural Management, 156–170.

Pisoni, G., Díaz-Rodríguez, N., Gijlers, H., & Tonolli, L. (2021). Human-Centered Artificial Intelligence for Designing Accessible Cultural Heritage. https://doi.org/10.3390/app11020870

Poortinga, Y. H. (2021). Concept and method in cross-cultural and cultural psychology. Cambridge University Press. https://tinyurl.com/36wv48fa

Reynolds, S. (2024). An Ethnographic Exploration of Cross-Cultural Challenges in Global Supply Chains. https://www.preprints.org/manuscript/202406.0463

Robinson, S. C. (2020a). Trust, transparency, and openness: How inclusion of cultural values shapes Nordic national public policy strategies for artificial intelligence (AI). Technology in Society, 63, 101421. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2020.101421

Robinson, S. C. (2020b). Trust, transparency, and openness: How inclusion of cultural values shapes Nordic national public policy strategies for artificial intelligence (AI). Technology in Society, 63, 101421. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2020.101421

Rodriguez, M. Y., & Storer, H. (2020). A computational social science perspective on qualitative data exploration: https://doi.org/10.1080/15228835.2019.1616350

Ruder-Hook, M. (2018). Winter Semester: Organizational Adoption of AI Through A Sociocultural Lens.

Saaida, M. B. (2023). AI-Driven transformations in higher education: Opportunities and challenges.International Journal of Educational Research and Studies, 5(1), 29–36.

Sa'ari, H., Sahak, M. D., & Skrzeszewskis, S. (2023). Deep learning algorithms for personalized services and enhanced user experience in libraries: A systematic review / Haziah Sa'ari, Mohd Dasuki Sahak and Stan Skrzeszewskis. Mathematical Sciences and Informatics Journal (MIJ) 2.

Scafuto, & Costa. (n.d.). (9) (PDF) Artificial Intelligence in academic research. ResearchGate.https://tinyurl.com/ycyvp4xk

Scatiggio, V. (2022). Tackling the issue of bias in artificial intelligence to design AI-driven fair and inclusive service systems. How human biases are breaching into AI algorithms, with severe impacts on individuals and societies, and what designers can do to face this phenomenon and change for the better. https://www.politesi.polimi.it/handle/10589/186118

Smaldino, P. E., Lukaszewski, A., von Rueden, C., & Gurven, M. (2019). Niche diversity can explain cross-cultural differences in personality structure. Nature Human Behaviour, 3(12), 1276–1283.

Smith, P. B., & Bond, M. H. (2019). Cultures and Persons: Characterizing National and Other Types of Cultural Difference Can Also Aid Our Understanding and Prediction of Individual Variability. Frontiers in Psychology, 10. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02689

Taghipoorreyneh, M., & De Run, E. C. (2020). Using Mixed Methods Research as a Tool for Developing an Indigenous Cultural Values Instrument in Malaysia. Journal of Mixed Methods Research, 14(3), 403424.https://doi.org/10.1177/1558689819857530

tprestianni. (2024, March 1). 131 AI Statistics and Trends for (2024). National University. https://tinyurl.com/32b45py3

Tubadji, & Denney. (2021). Cultural relativity in consumers' rates of adoption of AI Tubadji—2021— Economic Inquiry—Wiley Online Library. https://tinyurl.com/2be2kzac.https://tinyurl.com/yc2kzt62

Van de Vijver, F. J., & Leung, K. (2021). Methods and data analysis for cross-cultural research (Vol. 116). Cambridge University Press.

Venkatesh, V. (2022). Adoption and use of AI tools: A research agenda grounded in UTAUT. Annals of Operations Research, 308. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10479-020-03918-9

Venkatesh, V., Raman, R., & Cruz-Jesus, F. (2024). AI and emerging technology adoption: A research agenda for operations management. International Journal of Production Research, 62(15), 5367–5377. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2023.2192309

Wang, & Wang. (2024). Integrating AI in academic research – Changing the paradigm. University World News. https://www.universityworldnews.com/post.php?story=2024050216043739

Watermeyer, R., Phipps, L., Lanclos, D., & Knight, C. (2024). Generative AI and the Automating of Academia. Postdigital Science and Education, 6(2), 446–466. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42438- 023-00440-6

Yu, C. (2021). South Korea's Strategic Culture and China's National AI STRATEGY: A Neoclassical Realist View. J-Institute, 6(2), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.22471/ai.2021.6.2.01

Zentner, A. (2022). Applied Innovation: Artificial Intelligence in Higher Education (SSRN Scholarly Paper 4314180). https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4314180